(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
 □ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses: □ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); □ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; □ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; □ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or □ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4),
2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☐ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse

Lack of stable employmentLack of stable residence

40 472 (Rev. 11710) Order of Detention Fending That				
Ø	Lack of significant community or family ties to this district			
Ø	Significant family or other ties outside the United States			
Ø	Lack of legal status in the United States			
র	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration			
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered			
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement			
S	Use of alias(es) or false documents			
1	Background information unknown or unverified			
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release			

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Defendant is a citizen of Romania and has no legal status in the United States. He entered the United States illegally from Canada. He has no stable residence or employment, and has no ties to the comunity. He has no bail resources and his information is unverified. He presented a false name when arrested, and a fake Danish indenctifictaon card. According to the Affdiavit in Support of the Complaint, Defendatn was caught in the act of conducting illegal withdrawals from victim EBT cards. He reported being arrested in Romania for "stealing" two or three times and being sentenced to one or two years in custdoy.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: _	03/02/2023	PATRICIA DONAHUE	
		United States Magistrate Judge	